

to appear in: *Proc. of the Oviedo Symposium 1993 on "Fundamental Problems in Quantum Physics"*; eds.: M.Ferrero and A. van der Merwe, (Kluwer); also gr-qc/9410037

CONTINUOUSLY DIAGONALIZED DENSITY OPERATOR OF OPEN SYSTEMS

Lajos Diósi

*KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics
H-1525 Budapest 114, POB 49, Hungary*

We showed several years ago that the density operator of Markovian open systems can be diagonalized continuously in time. The resulting pure state jump processes correspond to quantum trajectories proposed in recent quantum optics calculations or, at fundamental level, to exact consistent histories.

Key words: open systems, jump process, quantum trajectories, consistent histories.

The quantum state of an open quantum system — actually a subsystem of a closed one — cannot be described by deterministically evolving state vectors ψ . A given pure initial state

$$\rho = P \quad (= \psi\psi^\dagger) \quad (1)$$

turns into *mixed* state immediately after the system has interacted with its environment:

$$\rho \rightarrow \rho' \neq U\rho U^\dagger. \quad (2)$$

Consider the *diagonalization* of the mixed density operator ρ' :

$$\rho' = \sum_n p'_n P'_n. \quad (3)$$

It is well known that the eigenstates P'_n can be interpreted as possible pure states of the system:

$$\rho' = P'_n, \quad (4)$$

with the corresponding probability p'_n ; ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). In such a way a pure state representation can be maintained even after the interaction. If, as usual, interactions occur repeatedly then the *stochastic jump* (4) must be introduced repeatedly after each interaction.

We restrict ourself to open systems of permanent idealized interaction with the environment, resulting in *Markovian* evolution equation for the density operator:

$$d\rho/dt = \mathcal{L}\rho \equiv -i[H, \rho] + \dots, \quad (5)$$

where $\dots$ stands for terms representing non-unitary evolution. These terms make any given initial pure state

$$\rho(t_0) = P(t_0) \quad (6)$$

mixed during an arbitrary (short) period ϵ . For time $t_1 = t_0 + \epsilon$, the resulting mixed density operator

$$\rho(t_1) = e^{\epsilon\mathcal{L}}P(t_0) \quad (7)$$

may be diagonalized:

$$\rho(t_1) = \sum_n p_n(t_1)P_n(t_1). \quad (8)$$

So, at $t = t_1 + 0$, we can restore the system's pure state as

$$\rho(t_1 + 0) = P_{n_1}(t_1), \quad (9)$$

with probability $p_{n_1}(t_1)$; ($n_1 = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Again, at $t_2 = t_1 + \epsilon$, we get mixed density operator

$$\rho(t_2) = e^{\epsilon\mathcal{L}}P_{n_1}(t_1), \quad (10)$$

and we diagonalize it:

$$\rho(t_2) = \sum_n p_n(t_2)P_n(t_2). \quad (11)$$

At $t = t_2 + 0$, we restore pure states

$$\rho(t_2 + 0) = P_{n_2}(t_2), \quad (12)$$

with probability $p_{n_2}(t_2)$; ($n_2 = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). And so on, for $t_3 = t_0 + 3\epsilon, \dots, t_\nu = t_0 + \nu\epsilon$.

Accordingly, one has constructed a stochastic process for the *conditional* quantum state $\rho(t)$ of the open system. The conditional $\rho(t)$ jumps into a pure state at times $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_\nu$, while it is getting slightly mixed between the jumps. In average, the process recovers the *unconditional* density operator of the system, satisfying the ensemble evolution equation (5).

If we let ϵ go to zero (at $\nu\epsilon = \text{const.}$) then the conditional state $\rho(t)$ will be pure all the time. We proved the existence of this limit in 1985 [1]. The limiting process is a generalized *Poisson* (jump) *process* for the pure state

$$\rho(t) \equiv \psi(t)\psi^\dagger(t). \quad (13)$$

The analytic expressions of the pure state jump process contain two nonlinear operators: the *frictional* Hamiltonian H_ψ and the *transition rate* operator W_ψ ; see [2] and [3]. Then, the pure state satisfies the frictional Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\psi(t) = -iH_{\psi(t)}\psi(t) \quad (14)$$

for most of the time, apart from the discrete orthogonal jumps

$$\psi(t+0) = \psi_n(t) \quad (15)$$

to the n th eigenstate of the current transition rate operator $W_{\psi(t)}$. The transition rate of the jump is equal to the n th eigenvalue $w_n(t)$ of $W_{\psi(t)}$.

The stochastic average of the pure state density operator (13) recovers the unconditional density operator and satisfies the ensemble evolution equation (5).

References 2 and 3 show jump process' equations for the general evolution equation (5). Here we consider the simplest Lindblad [4] structure:

$$d\rho/dt = -i[H, \rho] + F\rho F^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}\{F^\dagger F, \rho\} \quad (16)$$

where F is the only Lindblad generator. Let us relate it to concrete (open) physical systems and enlist typical cases:

- in spontaneous emission, $F = \text{const.} \times |0\rangle\langle 1|$,
- in damped cavity oscillation, $F = \text{const.} \times a$,
- in pumped laser, $F = \text{const.} \times a^\dagger$,
- in Brownian motion, $F = \text{const.} \times q + i \text{const.} \times p$,
- in Stern-Gerlach apparatus, $F = \text{const.} \times \sigma_z$.

The coupling constants set the strenghts of the environmental interactions.

As can be shown [2,3], the frictional Schrödinger equation (14) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\psi}{dt} = & -iH\psi + \frac{1}{2}(\langle F^\dagger \rangle F - H.C.)\psi \\ & - \frac{1}{2}(F^\dagger - \langle F^\dagger \rangle)(F - \langle F \rangle)\psi + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_F^2\psi \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $\Delta_F^2 = \langle F^\dagger F \rangle - \langle F^\dagger \rangle \langle F \rangle$. The above deterministic evolution of the state vector happens to be interrupted by the orthogonal jumps (15) which, as follows from [2] and [3], take the form

$$\psi \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}}(F - \langle F \rangle)\psi. \quad (18)$$

The rate w of the above transition, appearing in the normalization factor, is just equal to the Lindblad generator's quantum spread Δ_F in the current state ψ . (If I had more than one Lindblad generator in the evolution equation (16) then more than one outcome would exist for the jump, each with its partial transition rate w_n ; see in [2,3].)

In 1992 Dalibard *et al.* [5] considered the simple quantum optical Bloch equation which corresponds to our evolution equation (16) with the special choice $F = \sqrt{\Gamma}|0\rangle\langle 1|$. The authors construct a pure state jump process, similar to ours. Their frictional Schrödinger equation reads

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} = -iH\psi - \frac{1}{2}F^\dagger F\psi + \frac{1}{2}\langle F^\dagger F \rangle\psi \quad (19)$$

while their jump is

$$\psi \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}} F \psi. \quad (20)$$

The jump rate w is equal to $\langle F^\dagger F \rangle$.

No doubt, the jump process (19,20) of Dalibard *et al.* is a bit simpler to implement on a computer as compared to our jump process (17,18). From theoretical point of view, however, the orthogonal jump process which we have obtained by the continuous diagonalization of the density operator seems to be more justified. First of all, as shown in [1], the orthogonal jump process is *observable*. Let us consider the Hermitian operator

$$\mathcal{O} = \frac{1}{w} (F - \langle F \rangle \psi \psi^\dagger (F^\dagger - \langle F^\dagger \rangle)) \quad (21)$$

which is actually $1/w$ times the transition rate operator itself. Its eigenvalues are 0 or 1. It is shown in [1] that a *continuous observation* of $\mathcal{O}$ is possible. No quantum Zeno paradox will enter because the Markovian dynamics represents stronger effects than the continuous observation. This latter leads just to the orthogonal jump process (17,18). For most of time, the observed value of $\mathcal{O}$ (21) is 0 while the state vector obeys to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (17). For some random instants, however, the observed value may be 1: then the state vector has just performed the orthogonal jump (18).

That the above observability of the jump process is fundamental has got new support recently. There exists an alternative interpretation of quantum mechanics in terms of *consistent histories* [6] instead of von Neumann measurements. In 1993, Paz and Zurek [7] suggested that the successive diagonalizations (6-9) and (10-12) of the density operator led to an *exact* consistent set of quantum histories for a Markovian (open or sub-) system. From that, it is straightforward to see the continuous diagonalization, too, leads to exact consistent histories. Actually, the orthogonal jump process (14,15), defined uniquely for any Markovian (sub-) system, generates exact consistent histories [3]. These histories may be *the* classical content of the given quantum dynamics.

A possible lesson is that early results achieved in the frames of the standard von Neumann measurement theory turn to be crucial if transformed into the language of new interpretations. The old (von Neumann) and the new (consistent history) languages both tell us the same interpretational problems and thus wait for common solutions [8].

I am deeply indebted to the organizers of the Symposium for inviting me to participate and to give this talk. This work was supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund under Grant OTKA 1822/1991.

REFERENCES

1. L. Diósi, *Phys. Lett.* **112A**, 288 (1985).
2. L. Diósi, *Phys. Lett.* **114A**, 451 (1986).

3. L. Diósi, *Phys. Lett.* **185A**, 5 (1994).
4. G. Lindblad, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **48**, 119 (1976).
5. J. Dalibard, Y. Castin, and K. Mølmer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 580 (1992).
6. R. B. Griffiths, *J. Stat. Phys.* **36**, 219 (1984).
7. J. P. Paz and W. Zurek, *Phys. Rev.* **D48**, 2728 (1993).
8. L. Diósi, *Phys. Lett.* **280B**, 71 (1992).